AS2070: Aerospace Structural Mechanics
Module 2: Composite Material Mechanics (V3)

Instructor: Nidish Narayanaa Balaji

**Dept. of Aerospace Engg., IIT Madras, Chennai**

February 24, 2026

Table of Contents

MECHANICS OF
Composite Structures

Chapters 1-3, 11
in Kollár and Springer
(2003).

PRINCIPLES OF
COMPOSITE
MATERIAL
MECHANICS

THIRD EDITION

Ronald F. Gibson

CRC Press

Chapters 1-3
in Gibson (2012).

ELSEVIER AEROSPACE ENGINEERING SERIES

Aircraft
Structures
for Engineering
Students

Fourth Edition

T.H.G. Megson

Chapter 25 in Megson
(2013)

1.1. What are Composites?
Introduction

- Structural material consisting of multiple non-soluble macro-constituents.
- Main motivation: material properties tailored to applications.
- Both stiffness and strength comes from the fibers/particles, and the matrix holdes everything together.

Continuous fibres   Short fibres/Whiskers   Particulate

Types of composite materials (Figure from NPTEL Online-IIT KANPUR (2025))

Examples

- Reinforced concrete
- Wood (lignin matrix reinforced by cellulose fibers)
- Carbon-Fiber Reinforced Plastics (CFRP)

1.1. What are Composites?
Introduction

- Structural material consisting of multiple non-soluble macro-constituents.
- Main motivation: <u>material properties tailored to applications</u>.
- Both stiffness and strength comes from the fibers/particles, and the matrix holdes everything together.

Continuous fibres   Short fibres/Whiskers   Particulate

Types of composite materials (Figure from NPTEL Online-IIT KANPUR (2025))

Examples

- Reinforced concrete
- Wood (lignin matrix reinforced by cellulose fibers)
- Carbon-Fiber Reinforced Plastics (CFRP)

1.1. What are Composites?
Introduction

- Structural material consisting of multiple non-soluble macro-constituents.

CFRP Helicopter Blades

(Figures from Carbon Fiber Top Helicopter Blades 2025)

Examples

- Wood (lignin matrix reinforced by cellulose fibers)
- Carbon-Fiber Reinforced Plastics (CFRP)

- High fatigue resistance. But quite brittle.
- Main- and tail-planes, fuselages, etc. Helicopter blades.

1.1. What are Composites?
Introduction

- Structural ma[...] of [...] es.
- [...]
- [...]

Laminated Composites

(Figure from Kalkan 2017)

Ex[...]

- [...] AA.
- Wood (lignin ma[...] fibers) [...] quite brittle.
- Carbon-Fiber Re[...] [...]ges, etc. Helicopter

1.2. Modeling Composite Material
Introduction

Two main approaches:

Micro-Mechanics

(Figure from "Micro-Mechanics of Failure" 2024)

Macro-Mechanics

Homogenization of micro-structure (Figure from Skovsgaard and Heide-Jørgensen 2021)

1.3. Constitutive Modeling for Composites
Introduction

Axial Elongation

- Strain is fixed, but stress experienced by media differ.

$$\sigma_l = E_l \varepsilon_l$$

- Stress-strain relationship simplifies as,

$$\sigma_m = E_m \varepsilon_l, \quad \sigma_f = E_f \varepsilon_l$$

$$\sigma_l A = \sigma_m A_m + \sigma_f A_f$$

$$\implies \boxed{E_l = \frac{A_f}{A} E_f + \frac{A_m}{A} E_m}.$$

(Figures from Megson 2013)

1.3. Constitutive Modeling for Composites
Introduction

Axial Elongation

- Strain is fixed, but stress experienced by media differ.

$$\sigma_l = E_l \varepsilon_l$$

- Stress-strain relationship simplifies as,

$$\sigma_m = E_m \varepsilon_l, \quad \sigma_f = E_f \varepsilon_l$$

$$\sigma_l A = \sigma_m A_m + \sigma_f A_f$$

$$\implies \boxed{E_l = \frac{A_f}{A} E_f + \frac{A_m}{A} E_m}.$$

Transverse Elongation

- Stress is fixed, strains differ:

$$\varepsilon_t l_t = \varepsilon_m l_m + \varepsilon_f l_f$$

$$\implies \frac{\sigma_t}{E_t} l_t = \frac{\sigma_t}{E_m} l_m + \frac{\sigma_t}{E_f} l_f$$

$$\implies \boxed{\frac{1}{E_t} = \frac{1}{E_m}\frac{l_m}{l_t} + \frac{1}{E_f}\frac{l_f}{l_t}}.$$

(Figures from Megson 2013)

1.3. Constitutive Modeling for Composites

Introduction: Poisson Effects

Axial-Transverse Coupling

- Transverse displacement written as

$$\Delta_t = \nu_m \varepsilon_l l_m + \nu_f \varepsilon_l l_f := \nu_{lt} \varepsilon_l l_t$$

$$\implies \boxed{\nu_{lt} = \frac{l_m}{l_t} \varepsilon_l + \frac{l_f}{l_t} \varepsilon_f}.$$

(Figures from Megson 2013)

1.3. Constitutive Modeling for Composites

Introduction: Poisson Effects

Transverse-Axial Coupling

Axial-Transverse Coupling

- Transverse displacement written as

$$\Delta_t = \nu_m \varepsilon_l l_m + \nu_f \varepsilon_l l_f := \nu_{lt} \varepsilon_l l_t$$

$$\implies \boxed{\nu_{lt} = \frac{l_m}{l_t} \varepsilon_l + \frac{l_f}{l_t} \varepsilon_f}.$$

- Axial displacement written as

$$\nu_m \frac{\sigma_t}{E_m} = \nu_f \frac{\sigma_t}{E_f} := \nu_{tl} \frac{\sigma_t}{E_t},$$

$$\implies \boxed{\nu_{tl} = \frac{E_t}{E_l} \nu_{lt}}.$$

(Figures from Megson 2013)

1.3. Constitutive Modeling for Composites

Introduction: Poisson Effects

Transverse-Axial Coupling

Axial-Transverse Coupling

Clearly, the modulii are different along different directions!

- Transverse displacement written as

$$\Delta_t = \nu_m \varepsilon_l l_m + \nu_f \varepsilon_l l_f := \nu_{lt} \varepsilon_l l_t$$

$$\implies \boxed{\nu_{lt} = \frac{l_m}{l_t} \varepsilon_l + \frac{l_f}{l_t} \varepsilon_f}.$$

- Axial displacement written as

$$\nu_m \frac{\sigma_t}{E_m} = \nu_f \frac{\sigma_t}{E_f} := \nu_{tl} \frac{\sigma_t}{E_t},$$

$$\implies \boxed{\nu_{tl} = \frac{E_t}{E_l} \nu_{lt}}.$$

(Figures from Megson 2013)

1.3. Constitutive Modeling for Composites
Introduction: Anisotropy

General Anisotropy (aka "Triclinic")

$$\begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{xx} \\ \sigma_{yy} \\ \sigma_{zz} \\ \sigma_{xy} \\ \sigma_{xz} \\ \sigma_{yz} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} C_{11} & C_{12} & C_{13} & C_{14} & C_{15} & C_{16} \\ C_{12} & C_{22} & C_{23} & C_{24} & C_{25} & C_{26} \\ C_{13} & C_{23} & C_{33} & C_{34} & C_{35} & C_{36} \\ C_{14} & C_{24} & C_{34} & C_{44} & C_{45} & C_{46} \\ C_{15} & C_{25} & C_{35} & C_{45} & C_{55} & C_{56} \\ C_{16} & C_{26} & C_{36} & C_{46} & C_{56} & C_{66} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_{xx} \\ \varepsilon_{yy} \\ \varepsilon_{zz} \\ \gamma_{xy} \\ \gamma_{xz} \\ \gamma_{yz} \end{bmatrix}$$

1.3. Constitutive Modeling for Composites

Introduction: Anisotropy

General Anisotropy (aka "Triclinic")

$$\begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{xx} \\ \sigma_{yy} \\ \sigma_{zz} \\ \sigma_{xy} \\ \sigma_{xz} \\ \sigma_{yz} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} C_{11} & C_{12} & C_{13} & C_{14} & C_{15} & C_{16} \\ C_{12} & C_{22} & C_{23} & C_{24} & C_{25} & C_{26} \\ C_{13} & C_{23} & C_{33} & C_{34} & C_{35} & C_{36} \\ C_{14} & C_{24} & C_{34} & C_{44} & C_{45} & C_{46} \\ C_{15} & C_{25} & C_{35} & C_{45} & C_{55} & C_{56} \\ C_{16} & C_{26} & C_{36} & C_{46} & C_{56} & C_{66} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_{xx} \\ \varepsilon_{yy} \\ \varepsilon_{zz} \\ \gamma_{xy} \\ \gamma_{xz} \\ \gamma_{yz} \end{bmatrix}$$

Monoclinic: Single Plane of Symmetry

$$\begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{xx} \\ \sigma_{yy} \\ \sigma_{zz} \\ \sigma_{xy} \\ \sigma_{xz} \\ \sigma_{yz} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} C_{11} & C_{12} & C_{13} & C_{14} & 0 & 0 \\ C_{12} & C_{22} & C_{23} & C_{24} & 0 & 0 \\ C_{13} & C_{23} & C_{33} & C_{34} & 0 & 0 \\ C_{14} & C_{24} & C_{34} & C_{44} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & C_{55} & C_{56} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & C_{56} & C_{66} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_{xx} \\ \varepsilon_{yy} \\ \varepsilon_{zz} \\ \gamma_{xy} \\ \gamma_{xz} \\ \gamma_{yz} \end{bmatrix}$$

1.3. Constitutive Modeling for Composites

Introduction: Anisotropy

Orthotropic: Three Orthogonal Planes of Symmetry

$$
\begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{xx} \\ \sigma_{yy} \\ \sigma_{zz} \\ \sigma_{xy} \\ \sigma_{xz} \\ \sigma_{yz} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} C_{11} & C_{12} & C_{13} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ C_{12} & C_{22} & C_{23} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ C_{13} & C_{23} & C_{33} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & C_{44} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & C_{55} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & C_{66} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_{xx} \\ \varepsilon_{yy} \\ \varepsilon_{zz} \\ \gamma_{xy} \\ \gamma_{xz} \\ \gamma_{yz} \end{bmatrix}
$$

Transversely Isotropic

$$
\begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{xx} \\ \sigma_{yy} \\ \sigma_{zz} \\ \sigma_{xy} \\ \sigma_{xz} \\ \sigma_{yz} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} C_{11} & C_{12} & C_{13} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ C_{12} & C_{22} & C_{13} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ C_{13} & C_{13} & C_{33} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & C_{44} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & C_{44} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{C_{11}-C_{12}}{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_{xx} \\ \varepsilon_{yy} \\ \varepsilon_{zz} \\ \gamma_{xy} \\ \gamma_{xz} \\ \gamma_{yz} \end{bmatrix}
$$

1.4. Classical Laminate Theory
Introduction

2. Composite Materials

Griffith's experiments with glass fibres (1920) (Figure from Gibson 2012)

2. Composite Materials

Extrapolates to 1.600.000 psi (11.000 MN/m²)

Extrapolates to approximate
strength of bulk glass
25.000 psi (170 MN/m²) →

Griffith's experiments with glass fibres (1920) (Figure from Gibson 2012)

(Figure from Gibson 2012)

2.1. Types of Composite Materials
Composite Materials

FIGURE 1.4
Types of fiber-reinforced composites. (a) Continuous fiber composite, (b) woven composite,
(c) chopped fiber composite, and (d) hybrid composite.

(Figure from Gibson 2012)

3. Micro-Mechanics Descriptions

- We shall now seek a "homogenized" understanding of the mechanics of a composite material.
- By homogenization, we shall abstract away all the spatial information to such an extent that we shall claim that the following two abstractions of a composite material behave identically.

(a) *Abstraction 1 of a composite material*   **(b)** *Abstraction 2 of a composite material*

- We shall analyze the mechanics of abstraction 2 to derive an "equivalent" elastic description of the material as a whole. (We will restrict ourselves to the 2D plane for this)

3.1. The Rule of Mixtures
Micro-Mechanics Descriptions

The rule of mixtures is a very simple framework for developing expressions for homogenized mechanical properties.

Basic Definitions

Subscripts $(\cdot)_f$, $(\cdot)_m$, $(\cdot)_v$, and $(\cdot)_c$ denote quantities corresponding to the fiber, matrix, void, and composite (as a whole).

Volume Fraction $v_f = \frac{V_f}{V_c}$, $v_m = \frac{V_m}{V_c}$, $v_v = \frac{V_v}{V_c}$ such that $v_f + v_m + v_v = 1$.
Note that composite density $\rho_c = \rho_f v_f + \rho_m v_m$.

Weight Fraction $w_f = \frac{\rho_f}{\rho_c} v_f$

- We shall consider the behavior under the following 3 fundamental cases.

(a) *The abstract material under longitudinal extension (iso-strain)* (b) *The abstract material under transverse extension (iso-stress)* (c) *The abstract material under in-plane shear (iso-stress)*

3.1. The Rule of Mixtures: Case 1: Longitudinal Iso-Strain Extension
Micro-Mechanics Descriptions

- Under longitudinal extension, the matrix as well as the fiber are under the same strain, i.e., $\varepsilon_\ell = \frac{u}{\ell}$. The stresses, respectively, are

$$\sigma_m = E_m \varepsilon_\ell = E_m \frac{u}{\ell}; \quad \sigma_f = E_f \varepsilon_\ell = E_f \frac{u}{\ell}.$$

- Subscripts m and f denote matrix and fiber properties.

- Converting the above stresses to forces (by multiplying with A_m and A_f respectively) and summing them up leads to the overall reaction force:

$$F_{tot} = \left(A_m E_m + A_f E_f \right) \frac{u}{\ell} := A_{tot} E_\ell \frac{u}{\ell}.$$

- Here we introduce E_ℓ as the effective longitudinal modulus, so that we have:

$$E_\ell = \frac{A_m}{A_{tot}} E_m + \frac{A_f}{A_{tot}} E_f \implies \boxed{E_\ell = v_m E_m + v_f E_f}.$$

- This is closely related to the springs-in-parallel formula that you may already be familiar with. The jargon name for this is *Voigt Model*.

3.1. The Rule of Mixtures: Case 1: Longitudinal Iso-Strain Extension
Micro-Mechanics Descriptions

- Under longitudinal extension, the matrix as well as the fiber are under the same

Poisson's Effect

- The transverse displacements due to Poisson's effect can be written as

$$u_{t,m} = -\nu_m \frac{u}{\ell} w_m, \quad u_{t,f} = -\nu_f \frac{u}{\ell} w_f.$$

- The overall transverse displacement is:

$$u_t = -\left(\nu_m w_m + \nu_f w_f\right) \frac{u}{\ell} := -\nu_{\ell t} \frac{u}{\ell} w_{tot},$$

where we have substituted the homogenized formula in the end.

- So the effective Poisson's ratio is:

$$\boxed{\nu_{\ell t} = v_m \nu_m + v_f \nu_f}$$

- Here we have used $\frac{w_m}{w_{tot}} = v_m$ and $\frac{w_f}{w_{tot}} = v_f$.

multiplying with A_m and A_f respectively) reaction force:

$f E_f$

Notation for Poisson's ratio subscripts: $\nu_{<cause><effect>}$ For example: $\nu_{\ell t}$ encodes the transverse strain caused by axial strains such that:

$$\boxed{\varepsilon_t = -\nu_{\ell t} \varepsilon_\ell}$$

- This is closely related to the springs-in-parallel formula that you may already be familiar with. The jargon name for this is *Voigt Model*.

3.1. The Rule of Mixtures: Case 2: Transverse Iso-Stress Extension

Micro-Mechanics Descriptions

- Under transverse loading, the iso-stress condition is very naturally considered.
- The elongations in the transverse directions are written as:

$$u_{t,m} = \frac{\sigma_t}{E_m} w_m, \quad u_{t,f} = \frac{\sigma_t}{E_f} w_f.$$

- The overall elongation can be expressed as

$$u_t = \left(\frac{w_m}{E_m} + \frac{w_f}{E_f} \right) \sigma_t := \frac{w_{tot}}{E_t} \sigma_t.$$

- By equating the coefficients, we get the effective transverse modulus as

$$\boxed{E_t = \left(\frac{v_m}{E_m} + \frac{v_f}{E_f} \right)^{-1},}$$

which closely resembles the springs-in-series formula. The jargon name for this is *Reuß model*.

3.1. The Rule of Mixtures: Case 2: Transverse Iso-Stress Extension
Micro-Mechanics Descriptions

- Under transverse loading, the iso-stress

Poisson's Effect

- The **longitudinal displacements** due to Poisson's effect can be written as

$$u_{\ell,m} = -\frac{\nu_m}{E_m}\sigma_t\ell, \quad u_{\ell,f} = -\frac{\nu_f}{E_f}\sigma_t\ell.$$

- Since the fiber and matrix get displaced differently, we write down the overall averaged (effective homogenized) longitudinal displacement as:

$$u_\ell = v_m u_{\ell,m} + v_f u_{\ell,f}$$

$$:= -\frac{\nu_{t\ell}}{E_t}\sigma_t = -\left(\nu_m\frac{v_m}{E_m} + \nu_f\frac{v_f}{E_f}\right)\sigma_t\ell$$

- So the effective Poisson's ratio is:

$$\nu_{t\ell} = \frac{E_f v_m}{E_f v_m + E_m v_f}\nu_m + \frac{E_m v_f}{E_f v_m + E_m v_f}\nu_f$$

form   *Reuß model.*

Notation for Poisson's ratio subscripts: $\nu_{<cause><effect>}$
For example: $\nu_{\ell t}$ encodes the transverse strain caused by axial strains such that:

$$\varepsilon_t = -\nu_{\ell t}\varepsilon_\ell$$

3.1. The Rule of Mixtures: Case 3: In-plane Iso-Stress Shearing
Micro-Mechanics Descriptions

- Under shear loading, the iso-stress condition is very naturally considered.

- The shear strains (angles) are:

$$\gamma_{t\ell,m} = \frac{\sigma_{t\ell}}{G_m}, \quad \gamma_{t\ell,f} = \frac{\sigma_{t\ell}}{G_f}.$$

- The overall shear strain (deflection angle) is:

$$\gamma_{t\ell} = \gamma_{t\ell,m} \frac{w_m}{w_{tot}} + \gamma_{t\ell,f} \frac{w_f}{w_{tot}}$$

$$:= \frac{\sigma_{t\ell}}{G_{t\ell}} = \left(\frac{v_m}{G_m} + \frac{v_f}{G_f} \right) \sigma_{t\ell}.$$

- From this, we write down the overall shear modulus as

$$G_{t\ell} = \left(\frac{v_m}{G_m} + \frac{v_f}{G_f} \right)^{-1}.$$

- This is also a Reuß model (springs-in-series model).

3.1. The Rule of Mixtures: Case 3: In-plane Iso-Stress Shearing
Micro-Mechanics Descriptions

- Under shear loading, the iso-stress condition is very naturally considered.

The rule of mixtures, visualized.

- modulus as

$$G_{t\ell} = \left(\frac{v_m}{G_m} + \frac{v_f}{G_f} \right)^{-1}.$$

- This is also a Reuß model (springs-in-series model).

3.1. The Rule of Mixtures: Case 3: In-plane Iso-Stress Shearing
Micro-Mechanics Descriptions

- Under
  very na

RoM is not always satisfactory!

NOTE: The Reuß formula has been observed to consistently under-predict the modulii that are experimentally measured. This affects the E_t, $G_{t\ell}$, and the $\nu_{t\ell}$ estimates shown above.

○ Finite difference

Figure 11.8: The transverse Young and shear moduli calculated by the rule of mixtures (ROM), the modified rule of mixtures (MROM), the Halpin–Tsai (H–T) equations, and the finite difference solutions (circles) of Adams and Doner ($v_f = 0.55$).

(Figure 11.8 from Kollár and Springer 2003)

- This is also a Reuß model (springs-in-series model).

3.1. The Rule of Mixtures
Micro-Mechanics Descriptions

- The mismatch is related to the fact that our idealized picture was a poor representation of reality to begin with. Let us see how far we get with added geometrical detailing in our abstraction.

An abstraction with slightly more geometrical details: a square fiber embedded in a square region of the matrix

$$V_f = s_f^2, \quad V_{tot} = s^2, \quad v_f = \frac{V_f}{V_{tot}} = \frac{s_f^2}{s^2}$$

- Since the Voigt model is so successful, we look at the cross-section and divide it into regions A and B, which are acting as springs in parallel.

- For region B, the transverse modulus is written using the Reuß formula as:

$$E_{Bt} = \left(\frac{s_f/s}{E_f} + \frac{1 - s_f/s}{E_m} \right)^{-1}$$

$$= \left(\frac{\sqrt{v_f}}{E_f} + \frac{1 - \sqrt{v_f}}{E_m} \right)^{-1}.$$

- Now we apply the Voigt formula to obtain the overall transverse modulus:

$$E_t = \left(1 - \frac{s_f}{s} \right) E_m + \frac{s_f}{s} E_{Bt}$$

$$= E_m \left[\left(1 - \sqrt{v_f} \right) + \frac{\sqrt{v_f}}{1 - \sqrt{v_f} \left(1 - \frac{E_m}{E_f} \right)} \right]$$

3.1. The Rule of Mixtures
Micro-Mechanics Descriptions

- The r... ...resentation
  of rea... ...tailing in
  our a...

An abstraction ...
fiber embedded i...

$s \mid s_f$

This "Modified" Rule of Mixtures (mRoM) presents
just one modification to RoM, but it can be seen to pro-

Composite Modulus E_c/E_m

— Voigt Formula
— Reuß Formula
— Modified RoM

Fiber Volume Fraction v_f

The same formula may also be used for the shear modulus.

$V_f = s^2$ ... $\dfrac{\sqrt{v_f}}{\left(1 - \dfrac{E_m}{E_f}\right)}$

3.1. The Rule of Mixtures
Micro-Mechanics Descriptions

- Even the mRoM is found insufficient in a lot of cases, so a heuristic formula known as the **Halpin-Tsai Equation** is quite popular.

(Recommended reading: Sec. 3.2.3 in Daniel and Ishai 2006)

The Halpin-Tsai Equation

$$E_t = E_m \frac{1 + \xi \eta v_f}{1 - \eta v_f}, \quad \eta = \frac{E_f - E_m}{E_f + \xi E_m}$$

$$= E_m \frac{E_f + \xi E_m + \xi v_f (E_f - E_m)}{E_f + \xi E_m - v_f (E_f - E_m)}$$

Note: $\xi = 2$ for circular section fibers. $\xi = \frac{2a}{b}$ for rectangular fibers (b being loaded side).

- This is parameterized by ξ, which allows us to recover both the Voigt and Reuß models.

Case 1: $\xi \to 0$

$$E_t = \left(\frac{v_f}{E_f} + \frac{1 - v_f}{E_m} \right)^{-1}$$

Series, *Reuss* model.

Case 2: $\xi \to \infty$

$$E_2 = E_f v_f + E_m (1 - v_f)$$

Parallel, *Voigt* model.

3.1. The Rule of Mixtures
Micro-Mechanics Descriptions

- Eve   own as
  the

  (Ishai 2006)

Note: ξ

- Thi   models.

Graphical Comparison for varying ξ

- Voigt Formula
- Reuß Formula
- Halpin-Tsai ($\xi = 0.5$)
- Halpin-Tsai ($\xi = 5.0$)

Composite Modulus E_c / E_m

Fiber Volume Fraction v_f

3.2. Numerical Example
Micro-Mechanics Descriptions

(from Kollár and Springer 2003)

Consider a Graphite/Epoxy unidirectional ply. Matrix properties are given with subscript m in the table below. Nominal properties with fiber volume fraction $v_f = 60\%$ are also given. Assume that the fibers show anisotropy ($E_{f1} \neq E_{f2}$). Use the modified Rule of Mixtures.

	E_1	E_2	G_{12}	ν_{12}	E_m	G_m	ν_m
Value	148	9.65	4.55	0.3	4.1	1.5	0.35

All modulii in GPa.

Estimate the following:

- Fiber modulus properties
- Composite material modulii for volume fraction $v_f = 0.55$.

Note: It is common to denote the fiber-longitudinal direction as 1 and the transverse direction as 2.

3.2. Numerical Example
Micro-Mechanics Descriptions

(from Kollár and Springer 2003)

Consider a Graphite/Epoxy unidirectional ply. Matrix properties are given with subscript m in the table below. Nominal properties with fiber volume fraction $v_f = 60\%$ are also given. Assume that the fibers show anisotropy ($E_{f1} \neq E_{f2}$). Use the modified Rule of Mixtures.

	E_1	E_2	G_{12}	ν_{12}	E_m	G_m	ν_m
Value	148	9.65	4.55	0.3	4.1	1.5	0.35

All modulii in GPa.

Estimate the following:

- Fiber modulus properties
- Compo

Note: It is cection as 2.

Answers:
- $E_{f_1} \approx 240\,\text{GPa}$, $\nu_{f_{12}} = 0.27$, $E_{f_2} \approx 23\,\text{GPa}$, $G \approx 23\,\text{GPa}$.
- For $v_f = 0.55$, we have $E_1 \approx 130\,\text{GPa}$, $\nu_{12} = 0.31$, $E_2 \approx 8.8\,\text{GPa}$, $G_{12} \approx 4\,\text{GPa}$.

4.1. Macro-Mechanics Descriptions
Material Symmetry and Anisotropy

Material Symmetry

The study of material symmetry is concerned with finding answers to the question:
If the strain field on a deformable object is changed, how does the stress field change?

4.1. Macro-Mechanics Descriptions
Material Symmetry and Anisotropy

Material Symmetry

The study of material symmetry is concerned with finding answers to the question:
If the strain field on a deformable object is changed, how does the stress field change?

Consider the following Deformation Fields

Deformation Case 1

Deformation Case 2 (Case 1 Rotated)

4.1. Macro-Mechanics Descriptions
Material Symmetry and Anisotropy

Material Symmetry

The study of material symmetry is concerned with finding answers to the question:
If the strain field on a deformable object is changed, how does the stress field change?

Consider the following Deformation Fields

Stress and Strain Field

Strain ε_{xx} Strain ε_{yy} Strain ε_{xy}

Stress σ_{xx} Stress σ_{yy} Stress σ_{xy}

Isotropic Stress-Strain Relationship

Deformation Case 2 (Case 1 Rotated)

4.1. Macro-Mechanics Descriptions

Material Symmetry and Anisotropy

Material Symmetry

The study of material symmetry is concerned with finding answers to the question:
If the strain field on a deformable object is changed, how does the stress field change?

Consider the following Deformation Fields

Stress and Strain Field

Isotropic Stress-Strain Relationship

Stress and Strain Field

Isotropic Stress-Strain Relationship

4.1. Macro-Mechanics Descriptions
Material Symmetry and Anisotropy

Material Symmetry

The study of material symmetry is concerned with finding answers to the question:
If the strain field on a deformable object is changed, how does the stress field change?

Consider the following Deformation Fields

Stress and Strain Field

Strain ε_{xx} Strain ε_{yy} Strain ε_{xy}

Stress σ_{xx} Stress σ_{yy} Stress σ_{xy}

Anisotropic Case

Stress and Strain Field

Strain ε_{xx} Strain ε_{yy} Strain ε_{xy}

Stress σ_{xx} Stress σ_{yy} Stress σ_{xy}

Anisotropic Case

4.1. Macro-Mechanics Descriptions
Material Symmetry and Anisotropy

Material Symmetry

The study of material symmetry is concerned with finding answers to the question:
If the strain field on a deformable object is changed, how does the stress field change?

Consider the following Deformation Fields

Stress and Strain Field

Strain ε_{xx} Strain ε_{yy}

Stress σ_{xx} Stress σ_{yy} Stress σ_{xy}

Most materials exhibit some
sort of symmetry and gen-
eral anisotropy is almost
never encountered in practice.

and Strain Field

Strain ε_{yy} Strain ε_{xy}

Stress σ_{xx} Stress σ_{yy} Stress σ_{xy}

Anisotropic Case

Anisotropic Case

4.1. Material Symmetry and Anisotropy
Macro-Mechanics Descriptions

How do stresses and strains transform under coordinate change?

- Suppose $\underline{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ are the coordinates of a point in 3D space.
- Let $\underline{x}' \in \mathbb{R}^3$ be the coordinates under transformation.
- We will write: $\boxed{\underline{x}' = \underline{Q}\,\underline{x}}$, with $\underline{Q}^{-1} = \underline{Q}^T$.

Strains

- $\underline{\underline{\varepsilon}} = \frac{1}{2}\left(\nabla_{\underline{x}}\underline{u} + \nabla_{\underline{x}}\underline{u}^T\right)$

- $\nabla_{\underline{x}'}\underline{u}' = \underline{Q}\,\nabla_{\underline{x}}\underline{u}\,\underline{Q}^{-1} \implies \boxed{\underline{\underline{\varepsilon}}' = \underline{Q}\,\underline{\underline{\varepsilon}}\,\underline{Q}^T}$.

Stresses

- Cauchy Stress Definition: $\underline{t} = \underline{\underline{\sigma}}\,\underline{n}$

- $\underline{Q}\,\underline{t} = \underline{t}' = \underline{\underline{\sigma}}'\underline{n}' = \underline{\underline{\sigma}}'\underline{Q}\,\underline{n} = \underline{Q}\,\underline{\underline{\sigma}}\,\underline{n}$
  $\implies \boxed{\underline{\underline{\sigma}}' = \underline{Q}\,\underline{\underline{\sigma}}\,\underline{Q}^T}$

Reflections

Note that reflections may be expressed as a coordinate change with $\underline{Q} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$ (reflection about the xy plane).

4.1. Material Symmetry and Anisotropy
Macro-Mechanics Descriptions

- Under reflection about the xy plane, the strain transforms as,

$$\begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon'_x & \frac{\gamma'_{xy}}{2} & \frac{\gamma'_{xz}}{2} \\ & \varepsilon'_y & \frac{\gamma'_{yz}}{2} \\ \text{sym} & & \varepsilon'_z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & 1 & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_x & \frac{\gamma_{xy}}{2} & \frac{\gamma_{xz}}{2} \\ & \varepsilon_y & \frac{\gamma_{yz}}{2} \\ \text{sym} & & \varepsilon_z \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & 1 & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_x & \frac{\gamma_{xy}}{2} & -\frac{\gamma_{xz}}{2} \\ & \varepsilon_y & -\frac{\gamma_{yz}}{2} \\ \text{sym} & & \varepsilon_z \end{bmatrix}$$

- So in Voigt notation we have,

$$\begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon'_x \\ \varepsilon'_y \\ \varepsilon'_z \\ \gamma'_{xy} \\ \gamma'_{yz} \\ \gamma'_{yz} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & 1 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & 1 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 1 & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & -1 & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_x \\ \varepsilon_y \\ \varepsilon_z \\ \gamma_{xy} \\ \gamma_{xz} \\ \gamma_{yz} \end{bmatrix} \qquad \begin{bmatrix} \sigma'_x \\ \sigma'_y \\ \sigma'_y \\ \tau'_{xy} \\ \tau'_{yz} \\ \tau'_{yz} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & 1 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & 1 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 1 & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & -1 & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_x \\ \sigma_y \\ \sigma_z \\ \tau_{xy} \\ \tau_{xz} \\ \tau_{yz} \end{bmatrix}$$

Similarly for Stress

4.1. Material Symmetry and Anisotropy
Macro-Mechanics Descriptions

- Under reflection about the xy plane, the strain transforms as,

$$\begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon' & \gamma'_{xy} & \gamma'_{xz} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon & \gamma_{xy} & \gamma_{xz} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \end{bmatrix}$$

If a material were symmetric about the xy plane, then re-flecting the strain field about the xy plane will result in a stress field that is reflected about the same xy plane.

Note

- Strain field reflection is a kinematic operation/configuration change.
- Change in the Stress field is the effect that the above kinematic change results in.
- If the material happens to be symmetric about the reflection plane, then this change will be a reflection.

- So

$$\begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon'_x \\ \varepsilon'_y \\ \varepsilon'_y \\ \gamma'_{xy} \\ \gamma'_{yz} \\ \gamma'_{yz} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} & & & & & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_{yz} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \tau'_{yz} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} & & & & & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \tau_{yz} \end{bmatrix}$$

Similarly for Stress

4.1. Material Symmetry and Anisotropy

Macro-Mechanics Descriptions

- We have said the following :

$$
\begin{bmatrix} \sigma_x \\ \sigma_y \\ \sigma_z \\ \tau_{xy} \\ \tau_{xz} \\ \tau_{yz} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} C_{11} & C_{12} & C_{13} & C_{14} & C_{15} & C_{16} \\ & C_{22} & C_{23} & C_{24} & C_{25} & C_{26} \\ & & C_{33} & C_{34} & C_{35} & C_{36} \\ & & & C_{44} & C_{45} & C_{46} \\ & & \text{sym} & & C_{55} & C_{56} \\ & & & & & C_{66} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_x \\ \varepsilon_y \\ \varepsilon_z \\ \gamma_{xy} \\ \gamma_{xz} \\ \gamma_{yz} \end{bmatrix}
$$

Recall that this symmetry follows from strain energy existence

$$
\begin{bmatrix} \sigma'_x \\ \sigma'_y \\ \sigma'_z \\ \tau'_{xy} \\ \tau'_{xz} \\ \tau'_{yz} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} C_{11} & C_{12} & C_{13} & C_{14} & C_{15} & C_{16} \\ & C_{22} & C_{23} & C_{24} & C_{25} & C_{26} \\ & & C_{33} & C_{34} & C_{35} & C_{36} \\ & & & C_{44} & C_{45} & C_{46} \\ & & \text{sym} & & C_{55} & C_{56} \\ & & & & & C_{66} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon'_x \\ \varepsilon'_y \\ \varepsilon'_z \\ \gamma'_{xy} \\ \gamma'_{xz} \\ \gamma'_{yz} \end{bmatrix}
$$

(The $\underline{C}$ matrix is the same in both the original and the reflected coordinate systems)

4.1. Material Symmetry and Anisotropy

Macro-Mechanics Descriptions

- We have said the following :

This leads to

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
C_{11} & C_{12} & C_{13} & C_{14} & -C_{15} & -C_{16} \\
 & C_{22} & C_{23} & C_{24} & -C_{25} & -C_{26} \\
 & & C_{33} & C_{34} & -C_{35} & -C_{36} \\
 & & & C_{44} & -C_{45} & -C_{46} \\
 & \text{sym} & & & C_{55} & C_{56} \\
 & & & & & C_{66}
\end{bmatrix}
=
\begin{bmatrix}
C_{11} & C_{12} & C_{13} & C_{14} & C_{15} & C_{16} \\
 & C_{22} & C_{23} & C_{24} & C_{25} & C_{26} \\
 & & C_{33} & C_{34} & C_{35} & C_{36} \\
 & & & C_{44} & C_{45} & C_{46} \\
 & \text{sym} & & & C_{55} & C_{56} \\
 & & & & & C_{66}
\end{bmatrix}
$$

Recall that this symmetry follows from strain energy existence

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
\sigma'_x \\
\sigma'_y \\
\sigma'_z \\
\tau'_{xy} \\
\tau'_{yz} \\
\tau'_{yz}
\end{bmatrix}
=
\begin{bmatrix}
C_{11} & C_{12} & C_{13} & C_{14} & C_{15} & C_{16} \\
 & C_{22} & C_{23} & C_{24} & C_{25} & C_{26} \\
 & & C_{33} & C_{34} & C_{35} & C_{36} \\
 & & & C_{44} & C_{45} & C_{46} \\
 & \text{sym} & & & C_{55} & C_{56} \\
 & & & & & C_{66}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\varepsilon'_x \\
\varepsilon'_y \\
\varepsilon'_z \\
\gamma'_{xy} \\
\gamma'_{yz} \\
\gamma'_{yz}
\end{bmatrix}
$$

(The $\underline{\underline{C}}$ matrix is the same in both the original and the reflected coordinate systems)

4.1. Material Symmetry and Anisotropy

Macro-Mechanics Descriptions

- We have said the following :

This leads to

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
C_{11} & C_{12} & C_{13} & C_{14} & -C_{15} & -C_{16} \\
& C_{22} & C_{23} & C_{24} & -C_{25} & -C_{26} \\
& & C_{33} & C_{34} & -C_{35} & -C_{36} \\
& & & C_{44} & -C_{45} & -C_{46} \\
& \text{sym} & & & C_{55} & C_{56} \\
& & & & & C_{66}
\end{bmatrix}
=
\begin{bmatrix}
C_{11} & C_{12} & C_{13} & C_{14} & C_{15} & C_{16} \\
& C_{22} & C_{23} & C_{24} & C_{25} & C_{26} \\
& & C_{33} & C_{34} & C_{35} & C_{36} \\
& & & C_{44} & C_{45} & C_{46} \\
& \text{sym} & & & C_{55} & C_{56} \\
& & & & & C_{66}
\end{bmatrix}
$$

Recall that this symmetry follows from strain energy existence

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
\sigma'_x \\
\sigma'_y \\
\sigma'_z \\
\tau'_{xy} \\
\tau'_{yz} \\
\tau'_{yz}
\end{bmatrix}
=
\begin{bmatrix}
C_{11} & C_{12} & C_{13} & C_{14} & C_{15} & C_{16} \\
& C_{22} & C_{23} & C_{24} & C_{25} & C_{26} \\
& & C_{33} & C_{34} & C_{35} & C_{36} \\
& & & C_{44} & C_{45} & C_{46} \\
& \text{sym} & & & C_{55} & C_{56} \\
& & & & & C_{66}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\varepsilon'_x \\
\varepsilon'_y \\
\varepsilon'_z \\
\gamma'_{xy} \\
\gamma'_{yz} \\
\gamma'_{yz}
\end{bmatrix}
$$

(The $\underline{C}$ matrix is the same in both the original and the reflected coordinate systems)

4.1. Material Symmetry and Anisotropy

Macro-Mechanics Descriptions

- We have said the following :

This leads to

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
C_{11} & C_{12} & C_{13} & C_{14} & -C_{15} & -C_{16} \\
 & C_{22} & C_{23} & C_{24} & -C_{25} & -C_{26} \\
 & & C_{33} & C_{34} & -C_{35} & -C_{36} \\
 & & & C_{44} & -C_{45} & -C_{46} \\
 & \text{sym} & & & C_{55} & C_{56} \\
 & & & & & C_{66}
\end{bmatrix}
=
\begin{bmatrix}
C_{11} & C_{12} & C_{13} & C_{14} & C_{15} & C_{16} \\
 & C_{22} & C_{23} & C_{24} & C_{25} & C_{26} \\
 & & C_{33} & C_{34} & C_{35} & C_{36} \\
 & & & C_{44} & C_{45} & C_{46} \\
 & \text{sym} & & & C_{55} & C_{56} \\
 & & & & & C_{66}
\end{bmatrix}
$$

Recall that this symmetry follows from strain energy existence

Finally we see that material symmetry about the xz plane implies the following simplification to the constitutive relationship.

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
\sigma_x \\
\sigma_y \\
\sigma_z \\
\tau_{xy} \\
\tau_{xz} \\
\tau_{yz}
\end{bmatrix}
=
\begin{bmatrix}
C_{11} & C_{12} & C_{13} & C_{14} & 0 & 0 \\
 & C_{22} & C_{23} & C_{24} & 0 & 0 \\
 & & C_{33} & C_{34} & 0 & 0 \\
 & & & C_{44} & 0 & 0 \\
 & \text{sym} & & & C_{55} & C_{56} \\
 & & & & & C_{66}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\varepsilon_x \\
\varepsilon_y \\
\varepsilon_z \\
\gamma_{xy} \\
\gamma_{xz} \\
\gamma_{yz}
\end{bmatrix}
$$

This is known as a **Monoclinic   Material** (13 constants). This is also quite rare to encounter in practice.

4.1. Material Symmetry and Anisotropy

Macro-Mechanics Descriptions

Suppose all the three fundamental planes are planes of symmetry, we have an **Orthotropic   Material** (9 constants).

$$
\begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1 \\ \sigma_2 \\ \sigma_3 \\ \tau_{12} \\ \tau_{13} \\ \tau_{23} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} C_{11} & C_{12} & C_{13} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & C_{22} & C_{23} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & C_{33} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & C_{44} & 0 & 0 \\ & \text{sym} & & & C_{55} & 0 \\ & & & & & C_{66} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_1 \\ \varepsilon_2 \\ \varepsilon_3 \\ \gamma_{12} \\ \gamma_{13} \\ \gamma_{23} \end{bmatrix}
$$

(Figure 2.5 from Gibson 2012)

4.1. Material Symmetry and Anisotropy

Macro-Mechanics Descriptions

Suppose all the three fundamental planes are planes of symmetry, we have an **Orthotropic Material** (9 constants).

$$\begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1 \\ \sigma_2 \\ \sigma_3 \\ \tau_{12} \\ \tau_{13} \\ \tau_{23} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} C_{11} & C_{12} & C_{13} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & C_{22} & C_{23} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & C_{33} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & C_{44} & 0 & 0 \\ & \text{sym} & & & C_{55} & 0 \\ & & & & & C_{66} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_1 \\ \varepsilon_2 \\ \varepsilon_3 \\ \gamma_{12} \\ \gamma_{13} \\ \gamma_{23} \end{bmatrix}$$

Notice that $(\sigma_{(1,2,3)}, \varepsilon_{(1,2,3)})$ and $(\tau_{(12,13,23)}, \gamma_{(12,13,23)})$ are naturally decoupled as a consequence of symmetry in this coordinate system.

Also note,

- Specially orthotropic
- Generally orthotropic

(Figure 2.5 from Gibson 2012)

4.1. Material Symmetry and Anisotropy: Transverse Isotropy

Macro-Mechanics Descriptions

- In continuous fiber reinforced composites, it is often the case that the fibers are randomly distributed on a plane. This leads to planar isotropy in the plane perpendicular to the fiber stacking direction.

(Figure 2.6 from Gibson 2012)

- How do the stresses and strains transform on the plane?

$$(\sigma_x, \sigma_y, \sigma_z, \tau_{xy}, \tau_{xz}, \tau_{yz}) \rightarrow (\sigma_\xi, \sigma_\eta, \sigma_z, \tau_{\xi\eta}, \tau_{\xi z}, \tau_{\eta z})$$
$$(\varepsilon_x, \varepsilon_y, \varepsilon_z, \gamma_{xy}, \gamma_{xz}, \gamma_{yz}) \rightarrow (\varepsilon_\xi, \varepsilon_\eta, \varepsilon_z, \gamma_{\xi\eta}, \gamma_{\xi z}, \gamma_{\eta z})$$

4.1. Material Symmetry and Anisotropy

Macro-Mechanics Descriptions

- The stresses and strains transform as follows on the plane:

$$\sigma_\xi = \frac{\sigma_x + \sigma_y}{2} + \frac{\sigma_x - \sigma_y}{2}\cos 2\theta + \tau_{xy}\sin 2\theta$$

$$\sigma_\eta = \frac{\sigma_x + \sigma_y}{2} - \frac{\sigma_x - \sigma_y}{2}\cos 2\theta - \tau_{xy}\sin 2\theta$$

$$(\sigma_z = \sigma_z)$$

$$\tau_{\xi\eta} = -\frac{\sigma_x - \sigma_y}{2}\sin 2\theta + \tau_{xy}\cos 2\theta$$

$$\tau_{\xi z} = \tau_{xz}\cos\theta + \tau_{yz}\sin\theta$$

$$\tau_{\eta z} = -\tau_{xz}\sin\theta + \tau_{yz}\cos\theta$$

$$\varepsilon_\xi = \frac{\varepsilon_x + \varepsilon_y}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon_x - \varepsilon_y}{2}\cos 2\theta + \frac{\gamma_{xy}}{2}\sin 2\theta$$

$$\varepsilon_\eta = \frac{\varepsilon_x + \varepsilon_y}{2} - \frac{\varepsilon_x - \varepsilon_y}{2}\cos 2\theta - \frac{\gamma_{xy}}{2}\sin 2\theta$$

$$(\varepsilon_z = \varepsilon_z)$$

$$\gamma_{\xi\eta} = -(\varepsilon_x - \varepsilon_y)\sin 2\theta + \gamma_{xy}\cos 2\theta$$

$$\gamma_{\xi z} = \gamma_{xz}\cos\theta + \gamma_{yz}\sin\theta$$

$$\gamma_{\eta z} = -\gamma_{xz}\sin\theta + \gamma_{yz}\cos\theta$$

- For an orthotropic material, the straight stresses/strains and shear stresses/strains are fully decoupled.
- So we will consider different cases of kinematic deformation fields to see if more can be said.

4.1. Material Symmetry and Anisotropy
Macro-Mechanics Descriptions

1. Pure Out-Of-Plane Shear $(\gamma_{xz} \neq 0)$

- The stresses and strains are,

$$\sigma_\xi = 0$$
$$\sigma_\eta = 0$$
$$(\sigma_z = 0)$$
$$\tau_{\xi\eta} = 0$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} \tau_{\xi z} \\ \tau_{\eta z} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos\theta & \sin\theta \\ -\sin\theta & \cos\theta \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \tau_{xz} \\ \tau_{yz} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} C_{55}\gamma_{xz}\cos\theta \\ -C_{55}\gamma_{xz}\sin\theta \end{bmatrix} := \begin{bmatrix} C_{55}\gamma_{\xi z} \\ C_{66}\gamma_{\eta z} \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$\varepsilon_\xi = 0$$
$$\varepsilon_\eta = 0$$
$$(\varepsilon_z = 0)$$
$$\gamma_{\xi\eta} = 0$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} \gamma_{\xi z} \\ \gamma_{\eta z} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_{xz}\cos\theta \\ -\gamma_{xz}\sin\theta \end{bmatrix}$$

- Under symmetry, $(\tau_{\xi z}, \tau_{\eta z})$ is related to $(\gamma_{\xi z}, \gamma_{\eta z})$ in the same way that (τ_{xz}, τ_{yz}) is related to $(\gamma_{xz}, \gamma_{yz})$.
- So we have,

$$\begin{bmatrix} C_{11} & C_{12} & C_{13} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & C_{22} & C_{23} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & C_{33} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & C_{44} & 0 & 0 \\ & \text{sym} & & & C_{55} & 0 \\ & & & & & C_{66} \end{bmatrix}$$

C_{55}

C_{66}

4.1. Material Symmetry and Anisotropy

2. Pure Out-Of-Plane Stretch $(\varepsilon_z \neq 0)$

- We have straight stresses $\sigma_x = C_{13}\varepsilon_z, \sigma_y = C_{23}\varepsilon_z$.
- Upon transformation we have,

$$\sigma_\xi = \left(\frac{C_{13} + C_{23}}{2} + \frac{C_{13} - C_{23}}{2}\cos 2\theta\right)\varepsilon_z$$

$$\sigma_\eta = \left(\frac{C_{13} + C_{23}}{2} - \frac{C_{13} - C_{23}}{2}\cos 2\theta\right)\varepsilon_z$$

$$\sigma_z = \sigma_z$$

$$\tau_{\xi\eta} = -\frac{C_{13} - C_{23}}{2}\sin 2\theta$$

$$\tau_{\xi z} = \tau_{\eta z} = 0$$

$\varepsilon_\xi = 0$

$\varepsilon_\eta = 0$

$\varepsilon_z = \varepsilon_z$

$\gamma_{\xi\eta} = 0$

$\gamma_{\xi z} = \gamma_{\eta z} = 0$

- For planar isotropy, the relationship between $(\sigma_\xi, \sigma_\eta)$ and σ_z must be independent of θ. This is only possible for $C_{13} = C_{23}$.
- So we have,

$$\begin{bmatrix} C_{11} & C_{12} & C_{13} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & C_{22} & C_{23} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & C_{33} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & C_{44} & 0 & 0 \\ & \text{sym} & & & C_{55} & 0 \\ & & & & & C_{55} \end{bmatrix}$$

C_{13} ← C_{23}

4.1. Material Symmetry and Anisotropy
Macro-Mechanics Descriptions

3. Pure In-Plane Stretch ($\varepsilon_x \neq 0, \varepsilon_y = 0$)

- From the constitutive properties we have $\sigma_x = C_{11}\varepsilon_x$ and $\sigma_y = C_{12}\varepsilon_x$.
- Using this all the other components can be written as

$$\sigma_\xi = \left(\frac{C_{11} + C_{12}}{2} + \frac{C_{11} - C_{12}}{2} \cos 2\theta \right)\varepsilon_x \qquad \varepsilon_\xi = \frac{1 + \cos 2\theta}{2}\varepsilon_x$$

$$\sigma_\eta = \left(\frac{C_{11} + C_{12}}{2} + \frac{C_{11} - C_{12}}{2} \cos 2\theta \right)\varepsilon_x \qquad \varepsilon_\eta = \frac{1 - \cos 2\theta}{2}\varepsilon_x$$

$$= C_{12}\varepsilon_x + C_{22}\varepsilon_y$$

$$\sigma_z = 0 \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad \varepsilon_z = 0$$

$$\tau_{\xi\eta} = 0 \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad \gamma_{\xi\eta} = 0$$

$$\tau_{\xi z} = \tau_{\eta z} = 0. \qquad\qquad\qquad \gamma_{\xi z} = \gamma_{\eta z} = 0.$$

- For the σ_η equality to hold, we need $C_{22} = C_{11}$. So we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} C_{11} & C_{12} & C_{13} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & C_{22} & C_{13} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & C_{33} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & C_{44} & 0 & 0 \\ & \text{sym} & & & C_{55} & 0 \\ & & & & & C_{55} \end{bmatrix}$$

with annotations C_{11} (pointing to C_{22}), C_{11}, C_{13}, C_{55}

4.1. Material Symmetry and Anisotropy

Macro-Mechanics Descriptions

4. Pure In-Plane Shear ($\gamma_{xy} \neq 0$)

- From the constitutive properties we have $\tau_{xy} = C_{44}\gamma_{xy}$.
- Using this all the other components can be written as

$$\sigma_\xi = C_{44}\gamma_{xy}\sin 2\theta = C_{11}\varepsilon_\xi + C_{12}\varepsilon_\eta$$
$$\sigma_\eta = -C_{44}\gamma_{xy}\sin 2\theta = C_{12}\varepsilon_\xi + C_{11}\varepsilon_\eta$$
$$\sigma_z = 0$$
$$\tau_{\xi\eta} = C_{44}\gamma_{xy}\cos 2\theta$$
$$\tau_{\xi z} = \tau_{\eta z} = 0.$$

$$\varepsilon_\xi = \frac{\gamma_{xy}}{2}\sin 2\theta$$
$$\varepsilon_\eta = -\frac{\gamma_{xy}}{2}\sin 2\theta$$
$$\varepsilon_z = 0$$
$$\gamma_{\xi\eta} = \gamma_{xy}\cos 2\theta$$
$$\gamma_{\xi z} = \gamma_{\eta z} = 0.$$

- So we have $C_{44}\gamma_{xy}\sin 2\theta = \frac{C_{11}-C_{12}}{2}\gamma_{xy}\sin 2\theta$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
C_{11} & C_{12} & C_{13} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 & C_{11} & C_{13} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 & & C_{33} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 & & & \frac{C_{11}-C_{12}}{2} & 0 & 0 \\
 & \text{sym} & & C_{44} & C_{55} & 0 \\
 & & & & & C_{55}
\end{bmatrix}
$$

4.1. Material Symmetry and Anisotropy
Macro-Mechanics Descriptions

- The stresses and strains transform as follows on the plane:

$$\sigma_\xi \quad \frac{\sigma_x + \sigma_y}{2} \quad \frac{\sigma_x - \sigma_y}{2} \qquad \frac{\gamma_{xy}}{2}\sin 2\theta$$

$$\sigma_\eta \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \frac{\gamma_{xy}}{2}\sin 2\theta$$

$$(\sigma_z$$

$$\tau_{\xi\eta} \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad 2\theta$$

$$\tau_{\xi z}$$

$$\tau_{\eta z}$$

- strains are

- ore can be
said.

To Summarize,
a **Transversely Isotropic Material**
constitution can be expressed as

$$
\begin{bmatrix} \sigma_x \\ \sigma_y \\ \sigma_z \\ \tau_{xy} \\ \tau_{xz} \\ \tau_{yz} \end{bmatrix}
=
\begin{bmatrix}
C_{11} & C_{12} & C_{13} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 & C_{11} & C_{13} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 & & C_{33} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 & & & \frac{C_{11}-C_{12}}{2} & 0 & 0 \\
 & \text{sym} & & & C_{55} & 0 \\
 & & & & & C_{55}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_x \\ \varepsilon_y \\ \varepsilon_z \\ \gamma_{xy} \\ \gamma_{xz} \\ \gamma_{yz} \end{bmatrix}
$$

The material is fully characterized by five engineering constants.

# 4.1. Material Symmetry and Anisotropy: Engineering Constants
Macro-Mechanics Descriptions

- In engineering practice, the constants are usually written easier in terms of compliance.
- For a specially orthotropic material the strain-stress relationship are usually expressed as,

$$
\begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_1 \\ \varepsilon_2 \\ \varepsilon_3 \\ \gamma_{12} \\ \gamma_{13} \\ \gamma_{23} \end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
\frac{1}{E_{11}} & -\frac{\nu_{21}}{E_{22}} & -\frac{\nu_{31}}{E_{33}} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-\frac{\nu_{12}}{E_{11}} & \frac{1}{E_{22}} & -\frac{\nu_{32}}{E_{33}} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-\frac{\nu_{13}}{E_{11}} & -\frac{\nu_{23}}{E_{22}} & \frac{1}{E_{33}} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 & & & \frac{1}{G_{12}} & 0 & 0 \\
 & \text{sym} & & & \frac{1}{G_{13}} & 0 \\
 & & & & & \frac{1}{G_{23}}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1 \\ \sigma_2 \\ \sigma_3 \\ \tau_{12} \\ \tau_{13} \\ \tau_{23} \end{bmatrix}
$$

5. Analysis of Planar Laminates

- Let us just consider one thin layer of a transversely isotropic material (continuously reinforced composite along a single direction).

$$
\begin{bmatrix} \sigma_x \\ \sigma_y \\ \sigma_z \\ \tau_{xy} \\ \tau_{xz} \\ \tau_{yz} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} C_{11} & C_{12} & C_{13} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & C_{11} & C_{13} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & C_{33} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & \frac{C_{11}-C_{12}}{2} & 0 & 0 \\ & \text{sym} & & & C_{55} & 0 \\ & & & & & C_{55} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_x \\ \varepsilon_y \\ \varepsilon_z \\ \gamma_{xy} \\ \gamma_{xz} \\ \gamma_{yz} \end{bmatrix}
$$

5. Analysis of Planar Laminates

- Let us just consider one thin layer of a transversely isotropic material (continuously reinforced composite along a single direction).

$$
\begin{bmatrix} \sigma_x \\ \sigma_y \\ \sigma_z \\ \tau_{xy} \\ \tau_{xz} \\ \tau_{yz} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} C_{11} & C_{12} & C_{13} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & C_{11} & C_{13} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & C_{33} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & \frac{C_{11}-C_{12}}{2} & 0 & 0 \\ & \text{sym} & & & C_{55} & 0 \\ & & & & & C_{55} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_x \\ \varepsilon_y \\ \varepsilon_z \\ \gamma_{xy} \\ \gamma_{xz} \\ \gamma_{yz} \end{bmatrix}
$$

- We invoke plane stress assumptions, setting $\sigma_y = 0$. Let us also assume small shears, $\tau_{xy} = 0, \tau_{yz} = 0$.
 (Note: ε_z is not zero, and is implicitly defined)

$$
\begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1 \\ \sigma_2 \\ \tau_{12} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} C_{11} & C_{12} & 0 \\ C_{12} & C_{22} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & C_{33} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_1 \\ \varepsilon_2 \\ \gamma_{12} \end{bmatrix}
$$
(4 constants)

(Note change in notation in C_{ij})

5.1. Generally Orthotropic Laminates: In-Plane Rotational Transformations

Analysis of Planar Laminates

$$\begin{bmatrix} u_x \\ u_y \end{bmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \cos\theta & -\sin\theta \\ \sin\theta & \cos\theta \end{bmatrix}}_{\underline{\underline{Q}}} \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\implies \boxed{\underline{\underline{\sigma}}_{(x,y)} = \underline{\underline{Q}}\,\underline{\underline{\sigma}}_{(1,2)}\underline{\underline{Q}}^T}$$

Positive θ

(Figure 2.11 from Gibson 2012)

- What if the coordinate system is not aligned with the fiber axes? **The stress and strains transform**

- In the constitutive relationship we have,

$$\underline{\sigma}_{(1,2)} = \underline{\underline{C}}\,\underline{\varepsilon}_{(1,2)}$$

$$\underline{\underline{T}}_\sigma^{-1}\underline{\sigma}_{(x,y)} = \underline{\sigma}_{(1,2)} = \underline{\underline{C}}\,\underline{\varepsilon}_{(1,2)} = \underline{\underline{C}}\,\underline{\underline{T}}_\varepsilon^{-1}\underline{\varepsilon}_{(x,y)}$$

$$\implies \underline{\sigma}_{(x,y)} = \underbrace{\underline{\underline{T}}_\sigma \underline{\underline{C}}\,\underline{\underline{T}}_\varepsilon^{-1}}_{\underline{\underline{C}}'}\underline{\varepsilon}_{(x,y)}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \sigma_x \\ \sigma_y \\ \tau_{xy} \end{bmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \cos^2\theta & \sin^2\theta & -2\cos\theta\sin\theta \\ \sin^2\theta & \cos^2\theta & 2\cos\theta\sin\theta \\ \cos\theta\sin\theta & -\cos\theta\sin\theta & \cos^2\theta-\sin^2\theta \end{bmatrix}}_{\underline{\underline{T}}_\sigma} \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1 \\ \sigma_2 \\ \tau_{12} \end{bmatrix}$$

where $\underline{\underline{C}} = \begin{bmatrix} C_{11} & C_{12} & 0 \\ C_{12} & C_{22} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & C_{33} \end{bmatrix}$.

$$\underline{\underline{T}}_\sigma^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos^2\theta & \sin^2\theta & 2\cos\theta\sin\theta \\ \sin^2\theta & \cos^2\theta & -2\cos\theta\sin\theta \\ -\cos\theta\sin\theta & \cos\theta\sin\theta & \cos^2\theta-\sin^2\theta \end{bmatrix}$$

5.1. Generally Orthotropic Laminates: In-Plane Rotational Transformations

Analysis of Planar Laminates

$$\underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} u_x \\ u_y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos\theta & -\sin\theta \\ \sin\theta & \cos\theta \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{bmatrix}}_{\underline{Q}}$$

$$\implies \boxed{\underline{\sigma}_{(x,y)} = \underline{Q}\,\underline{\sigma}_{(1,2)}\,\underline{Q}^T}$$

- What if the coordinate system is not aligned with the fiber axes? The stress [...] transform [...] utive relationship we have,

$$\underline{\varepsilon}_{(1,2)} = \underline{C}\,\underline{\varepsilon}_{(1,2)}$$
$$\underline{\varepsilon}_{(1,2)} = \underline{C}\,\varepsilon_{(1,2)} = \underline{C}\,\underline{T}_\varepsilon^{-1}\,\underline{\varepsilon}_{(x,y)}$$
$$\underline{\varepsilon}_{(x,y)} = \underbrace{\underline{T}_\sigma\,\underline{C}\,\underline{T}_\varepsilon^{-1}}_{\underline{C}'}\,\varepsilon_{(x,y)}$$

Note that Strain Transformation looks slightly different because of our definition of shear strain $\gamma_{xy} = 2\varepsilon_{xy}$.

$$\begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_x \\ \varepsilon_y \\ \gamma_{xy} \end{bmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \cos^2\theta & \sin^2\theta & -\cos\theta\sin\theta \\ \sin^2\theta & \cos^2\theta & \cos\theta\sin\theta \\ 2\cos\theta\sin\theta & -2\cos\theta\sin\theta & \cos^2\theta - \sin^2\theta \end{bmatrix}}_{\underline{T}_\varepsilon} \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_1 \\ \varepsilon_2 \\ \gamma_{12} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \sigma_y \\ \tau_{xy} \end{bmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \sin^2\theta & \cos^2\theta & 2\cos\theta\sin\theta \\ \cos\theta\sin\theta & -\cos\theta\sin\theta & \cos^2\theta - \sin^2\theta \end{bmatrix}}_{\underline{T}_\sigma} \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_2 \\ \tau_{12} \end{bmatrix}$$

where $\underline{C} = \begin{bmatrix} C_{11} & C_{12} & 0 \\ C_{12} & C_{22} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & C_{33} \end{bmatrix}$.

$$\underline{T}_\sigma^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos^2\theta & \sin^2\theta & 2\cos\theta\sin\theta \\ \sin^2\theta & \cos^2\theta & -2\cos\theta\sin\theta \\ -\cos\theta\sin\theta & \cos\theta\sin\theta & \cos^2\theta - \sin^2\theta \end{bmatrix}$$

5.1. Generally Orthotropic Laminates: In-Plane Rotational Transformations

$$\begin{bmatrix} u_x \\ u_y \end{bmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \cos\theta & -\sin\theta \\ \sin\theta & \cos\theta \end{bmatrix}}_{\underline{\underline{Q}}} \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\implies \boxed{\underline{\sigma}_{(x,y)} = \underline{\underline{Q}}\,\underline{\sigma}_{(1,2)}\underline{\underline{Q}}^T}$$

Transformed $\underline{\underline{C}}$ Matrix ($\underline{\sigma} = \underline{\underline{C}}\,\underline{\varepsilon}$)

$$\underline{\underline{C}}' = \begin{bmatrix} C'_{11} & C'_{12} & C'_{13} \\ C'_{12} & C'_{22} & C'_{23} \\ C'_{13} & C'_{23} & C'_{33} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$C'_{11} = C_{11}c^4 + C_{22}s^4 + (2C_{33} + C_{12})2c^2s^2$$

$$C'_{22} = C_{11}s^4 + C_{22}c^4 + (2C_{33} + C_{12})2c^2s^2$$

$$C'_{33} = (C_{11} + C_{22} - 2C_{33} - 2C_{12})c^2s^2 + C_{33}(c^4 + s^4)$$

$$C'_{12} = (C_{11} + C_{22} - 4C_{33})c^2s^2 + C_{12}(c^4 + s^4)$$

$$C'_{13} = (C_{11} - 2C_{33} - C_{12})c^3s - (C_{22} - 2C_{33} - C_{12})cs^3$$

$$C'_{23} = (C_{11} - 2C_{33} - C_{12})cs^3 - (C_{22} - 2C_{33} - C_{12})c^3s.$$

the coordinate system is not with the fiber axes? The stress transform

utive relationship we have,

$$\underline{\sigma}_{(1,2)} = \underline{\underline{C}}\,\underline{\varepsilon}_{(1,2)}$$

$$\underline{\sigma}_{(1,2)} = \underline{\underline{C}}\,\underline{\varepsilon}_{(1,2)} = \underline{\underline{C}}\,\underline{\underline{T}}_{\varepsilon}^{-1}\underline{\varepsilon}_{(x,y)}$$

$$\underline{\sigma}_{(x,y)} = \underbrace{\underline{\underline{T}}_\sigma \underline{\underline{C}}\,\underline{\underline{T}}_{\varepsilon}^{-1}}_{\underline{\underline{C}}'}\underline{\varepsilon}_{(x,y)}$$

re $\underline{\underline{C}} = \begin{bmatrix} C_{11} & C_{12} & 0 \\ C_{12} & C_{22} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & C_{33} \end{bmatrix}$.

$$\underline{\underline{T}}_\sigma^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos^2\theta & \sin^2\theta & 2\cos\theta\sin\theta \\ \sin^2\theta & \cos^2\theta & -2\cos\theta\sin\theta \\ -\cos\theta\sin\theta & \cos\theta\sin\theta & \cos^2\theta - \sin^2\theta \end{bmatrix}$$

5.1. Generally Orthotropic Laminates
Analysis of Planar Laminates

- Compliance is often more convenient:

$$\underline{\varepsilon}_{(x,y)} = \underline{\underline{T}} \, \varepsilon \, \underline{\underline{S}} \, \underline{\underline{T}}^{-1}_{\sigma} \, \underline{\sigma}_{(x,y)}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_x \\ \varepsilon_y \\ \gamma_{xy} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} S'_{11} & S'_{12} & S'_{13} \\ & S'_{22} & S'_{23} \\ & & S'_{33} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_x \\ \sigma_y \\ \tau_{xy} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$S'_{11} = S_{11}c^4 + S_{22}s^4 + (S_{33} + 2S_{12})c^2 s^2$$

$$S'_{22} = S_{11}s^4 + S_{22}c^4 + (S_{33} + 2S_{12})c^2 s^2$$

$$S'_{33} = (2S_{11} + 2S_{22} - S_{33} - 4S_{12})2c^2 s^2 + S_{33}(c^4 + s^4)$$

$$S'_{12} = (S_{11} + S_{22} - S_{33})c^2 s^2 + S_{12}(c^4 + s^4)$$

$$S'_{13} = (2S_{11} - S_{33} - 2S_{12})c^3 s - (2S_{22} - S_{33} - 2S_{12})cs^3$$

$$S'_{23} = (2S_{11} - S_{33} - 2S_{12})cs^3 - (2S_{22} - S_{33} - 2S_{12})c^3 s.$$

- In the material principal directions we have,

$$\begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_1 \\ \varepsilon_2 \\ \gamma_{12} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{E_1} & -\frac{\nu_{21}}{E_2} & 0 \\ -\frac{\nu_{12}}{E_1} & \frac{1}{E_2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{G_{12}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1 \\ \sigma_2 \\ \tau_{12} \end{bmatrix}$$

Engineering Constants: $E_1, E_2, G_{12}, \nu_{12}$

- Based on this we can write,

$$E_x = \left[\frac{c^4}{E_1} + \frac{s^4}{E_2} + \left(\frac{1}{G_{12}} - \frac{2\nu_{21}}{E_2} \right) c^2 s^2 \right]^{-1}$$

$$E_y = \left[\frac{s^4}{E_1} + \frac{c^4}{E_2} + \left(\frac{1}{G_{12}} - \frac{2\nu_{21}}{E_2} \right) c^2 s^2 \right]^{-1}$$

$$G_{xy} = \left[\frac{c^4 + s^4}{G_{12}} + \left(\frac{1}{E_1} + \frac{1}{E_2} \right. \right.$$
$$\left. \left. - \frac{1}{2G_{12}} + 2\frac{\nu_{21}}{E_2} \right) 4c^2 s^2 \right]^{-1}$$

$$\nu_{yx} = E_y \left[\frac{\nu_{21}}{E_2} (c^4 + s^4) \right.$$
$$\left. - \left(\frac{1}{E_1} + \frac{1}{E_2} - \frac{1}{G_{12}} \right) c^2 s^2 \right]$$

- It is customary to express the laminate constitutive relationship as

$$\begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_x \\ \varepsilon_y \\ \gamma_{xy} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{E_x} & -\frac{\nu_{yx}}{E_y} & \frac{\eta_{xy,x}}{G_{xy}} \\ -\frac{\nu_{xy}}{E_x} & \frac{1}{E_y} & \frac{\eta_{xy,y}}{G_{xy}} \\ \frac{\eta_{x,xy}}{E_x} & \frac{\eta_{y,xy}}{E_y} & \frac{1}{G_{xy}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_x \\ \sigma_y \\ \tau_{xy} \end{bmatrix}$$

5.1. Generally Orthotropic Laminates
Analysis of Planar Laminates

- Compliance is often more convenient:

$$\underline{\varepsilon}_{(x,y)} = \underline{T}\,\varepsilon\,\underline{S}\,\underline{S}\,\underline{T}_\sigma^{-1}\underline{\sigma}_{(x,y)}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_x \\ \varepsilon_y \\ \gamma_{xy} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} S'_{11} & S'_{12} & S'_{13} \\ & S'_{22} & S'_{23} \\ & & S'_{33} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_x \\ \sigma_y \\ \tau_{xy} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$S'_{11} = S_{11}c^4 + S_{22}s^4 + (S_{33} + 2S_{12})c^2 s^2$$

$$S'_{22} = S_{11}s^4 + S_{22}c^4 + (S_{33} + 2S_{12})c^2 s^2$$

$$S'_{33} = (2S_{11} + 2S_{22} - S_{33} - 4S_{12})2c^2 s^2 + S_{33}(c$$

$$S'_{12} = (S_{11} + S_{22} - S_{33})c^2 s^2 + S_{12}(c^4 + s^4)$$

$$S'_{13} = (2S_{11} - S_{33} - 2S_{12})c^3 s - (2S_{22} - S_{33} - 2S_{12})cs$$

$$S'_{23} = (2S_{11} - S_{33} - 2S_{12})cs^3 - (2S_{22} - S_{33} - 2S_{12})c^3 s.$$

- In the material principal directions we have,

$$\begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_1 \\ \varepsilon_2 \\ \gamma_{12} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{E_1} & -\frac{\nu_{21}}{E_2} & 0 \\ -\frac{\nu_{12}}{E_1} & \frac{1}{E_2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{G_{12}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1 \\ \sigma_2 \\ \tau_{12} \end{bmatrix}$$

Engineering Constants: $E_1, E_2, G_{12}, \nu_{12}$

- Based on this we can write,

The Shear Constants can be written as

$$\eta_{xy,x} = G_{xy}\left[\left(\frac{2}{E_1} - \frac{1}{G_{12}} + \frac{2\nu_{21}}{E_2} \right) c^3 s \right.$$
$$\left. - \left(\frac{2}{E_2} - \frac{1}{G_{12}} + \frac{2\nu_{21}}{E_2} \right) cs^3 \right]$$

$$\eta_{xy,y} = G_{xy}\left[\left(\frac{2}{E_1} - \frac{1}{G_{12}} + \frac{2\nu_{21}}{E_2} \right) cs^3 \right.$$
$$\left. - \left(\frac{2}{E_2} - \frac{1}{G_{12}} + \frac{2\nu_{21}}{E_2} \right) c^3 s \right]$$

$$- \left(\frac{1}{E_1} + \frac{1}{E_2} - \frac{1}{G_{12}} \right) c^2 s^2 \right]$$

- It is customary to express the laminate constitutive relationship as

$$\begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_x \\ \varepsilon_y \\ \gamma_{xy} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{E_x} & -\frac{\nu_{yx}}{E_y} & \frac{\eta_{xy,x}}{G_{xy}} \\ -\frac{\nu_{xy}}{E_x} & \frac{1}{E_y} & \frac{\eta_{xy,y}}{G_{xy}} \\ \frac{\eta_{x,xy}}{E_x} & \frac{\eta_{y,xy}}{E_y} & \frac{1}{G_{xy}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_x \\ \sigma_y \\ \tau_{xy} \end{bmatrix}$$

5.1. Generally Orthotropic Laminates

Analysis of Planar Laminates

- Compliance is often ~~~~write,

$$\underline{\varepsilon}_{(x,y)} = \underline{T}\,\varepsilon\,\underline{S}\,\underline{S}\,\underline{T}_\sigma^{-1}\underline{\sigma}_{(x,y)}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_x \\ \varepsilon_y \\ \gamma_{xy} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} S'_{11} & S'_{12} \\ & S'_{22} \\ & & \end{bmatrix}$$

$$S'_{11} = S_{11}c^4 + S_{22}s^4$$

$$S'_{22} = S_{11}s^4 + S_{22}c^4$$

$$S'_{33} = (2S_{11} + 2S_{22} - $$

$$S'_{12} = (S_{11} + S_{22} - S$$

$$S'_{13} = (2S_{11} - S_{33} - $$

$$S'_{23} = (2S_{11} - S_{33} - $$

- In the material princ

$$\begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_1 \\ \varepsilon_2 \\ \gamma_{12} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{E_1} \\ -\frac{\nu_1}{E} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Off-Axis Modulii

(Figure 2.14 from Gibson 2012)

can be written as

$$\frac{1}{G_{12}} + \frac{2\nu_{21}}{E_2}\Big) c^3 s$$

$$ + \frac{2\nu_{21}}{E_2}\Big) cs^3\Big]$$

$$\frac{1}{G_{12}} + \frac{2\nu_{21}}{E_2}\Big) cs^3$$

$$ + \frac{2\nu_{21}}{E_2}\Big) c^3 s\Big]$$

$$ - \frac{1}{G_{12}}\Big) c^2 s^2\Big]$$

...ress the laminate
...p as

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\nu_{yx}}{E_y} & \frac{\eta_{xy,x}}{G_{xy}} \\ \frac{1}{E_y} & \frac{\eta_{xy,y}}{G_{xy}} \\ \frac{\eta_{y,xy}}{E_y} & \frac{1}{G_{xy}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_x \\ \sigma_y \\ \tau_{xy} \end{bmatrix}$$

Engineering Constants: $E_1, E_2, G_{12}, \nu_{12}$

5.2. Numerical Examples: 1
Analysis of Planar Laminates(Example 2.2 from Gibson 2012)

Consider an orthotropic laminate with the properties

$$E_1 = 140\,\text{GPa},\ E_2 = 10\,\text{GPa},\ G_{12} = 7\,\text{GPa},\ \nu_{12} = 0.3,\ \nu_{23} = 0.2.$$

Compute the strains if it is subjected to the following state of stress in the principal coordinates:

$$\sigma_1 = 70\,\text{MPa},\ \sigma_2 = 140\,\text{MPa},\ \tau_{12} = 35\,\text{MPa},\ \sigma_3 = \tau_{12} = \tau_{23} = 0.$$

Note: σ₃ = τ₁₃ = τ₂₃ = 0

(Figure 2.10 from Gibson 2012)

5.2. Numerical Examples: 2

Analysis of Planar Laminates(Example 2.3 from Gibson 2012)

A 45° off-axis tensile test is conducted on a generally orthotropic test specimen by applying a normal stress σ_x. The specimen has strain gauges attached to measure axial and transverse strains $(\varepsilon_x, \varepsilon_y)$. How many engineering parameters can be estimated from measurements of $\sigma_x, \varepsilon_x, \varepsilon_y$?

(Figure 2.15 from Gibson 2012)

6. Classical Laminate Theory

- In the Kirchhoff-Love Plate Theory we had,

$$\begin{bmatrix} \underline{N} \\ \underline{M} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \underline{\underline{A}} & \underline{\underline{B}} \\ \underline{\underline{B}} & \underline{\underline{D}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \underline{u}' \\ \underline{w}'' \end{bmatrix}$$

where

$$\underline{\underline{A}} = \frac{Et}{1 - \nu^2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \nu & 0 \\ \nu & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1-\nu}{2} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \underline{\underline{D}} = \frac{Et^3}{12(1 - \nu^2)} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \nu & 0 \\ \nu & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1-\nu}{2} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \underline{\underline{B}} = \underline{\underline{0}}.$$

- This can also be written in terms of thickness moments of the constitutive matrix
$\underline{\underline{C}} = \frac{E}{1-\nu^2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \nu & 0 \\ \nu & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1-\nu}{2} \end{bmatrix}$ as

$$\underline{\underline{A}} = \int_{-\frac{t}{2}}^{\frac{t}{2}} \underline{\underline{C}}\, dz, \quad \underline{\underline{B}} = \int_{-\frac{t}{2}}^{\frac{t}{2}} z\underline{\underline{C}}\, dz, \quad \underline{\underline{D}} = \int_{-\frac{t}{2}}^{\frac{t}{2}} z^2\underline{\underline{C}}\, dz.$$

6. Classical Laminate Theory

- Suppose we had different laminate plies along the thickness, such that the constitutive matrix is $\underline{\underline{C}}_i$ for $z \in (z_i, z_{i+1})$ and $-\frac{t}{2} = z_1 < \cdots < z_N = \frac{t}{2}$.
- Then the $A - B - D$ matrices are written as the sums,

$$\underline{\underline{A}} = \sum_i (z_{i+1} - z_i)\underline{\underline{C}}_i, \quad \underline{\underline{B}} = \sum_i \frac{z_{i+1}^2 - z_i^2}{2}\underline{\underline{C}}_i, \quad \underline{\underline{D}} = \sum_i \frac{z_{i+1}^3 - z_i^3}{3}\underline{\underline{C}}_i.$$

- Unlike isotropic plates, composite laminates can have non-zero $\underline{\underline{B}}$ matrix (moment-planar coupling), bending-twisting coupling, etc.

- This $\begin{bmatrix} \underline{\underline{A}} & \underline{\underline{B}} \\ \underline{\underline{B}} & \underline{\underline{D}} \end{bmatrix}$ matrix is known as the **Laminate Stiffness Matrix**.

6.1. The Laminate Orientation Code
Classical Laminate Theory

- Ply angles separated by slashes, ordered from top to bottom
- Subscript "s" for symmetric laminates
- Numerical subscripts for repetitions
- Center ply with an overbar for odd laminates

(See sec. 7.1 in Gibson 2012)

Types

- Symmetric, Antisymmetric, Asymmetric
- Angle-Ply, Cross-Ply, Balanced, $\pi/4$ laminates

(Figure 7.1 from Gibson 2012)

6.1. The Laminate Orientation Code

Classical Laminate Theory

- Ply angles separated
  from top to bottom

- Subscript "s" for sy

- Numerical subscript

- Center ply with an
  laminates

Typ

- Symmetric, Antisym

- Angle-Ply, Cross-Ply
  laminates

6.2. Laminated Beams
Classical Laminate Theory

- Consider a beam with a symmetric section on the $x - y$ plane. Invoking Kirchhoff kinematic assumptions we have: $\varepsilon_x = u' - yv''$.

- The stress distribution will depend on the section-coordinate. In general we will have: $\sigma_x = E_x(y)\varepsilon_x = E_x(y)\left(u' - yv''\right)$.

- We get the effective normal reaction N_x by integrating the stress over the section:

$$N_x = \int_{\mathcal{A}} \sigma_x = \left[\int_{\mathcal{A}} E_x(y)\right] u' + \left[\int_{\mathcal{A}} -yE_x(y)\right] v''.$$

- Similarly we get the bending moment M_z as the first moment of the stress,

$$M_z = \int_{\mathcal{A}} -y\sigma_x = \left[\int_{\mathcal{A}} -yE_x(y)\right] u' + \left[\int_{\mathcal{A}} y^2 E_x(y)\right] v''.$$

- In summary we have the beam-analog of the laminate stiffness matrix,

$$\begin{bmatrix} N_x \\ M_z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ B & D \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u' \\ v'' \end{bmatrix}.$$

> **Important note**: We have assumed that no torsion/twist is present. See Kollár and Springer 2003 for the general form.

6.2. Laminated Beams
Classical Laminate Theory

- For a laminated composite with a rectangular section with width b, the integrals may be simplified as,

$$A = \int_{\mathcal{A}} E_x(y) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} E_{x,i} b (y_{i+1} - y_i), \quad B = \int_{\mathcal{A}} -y E_x(y) = -\sum_{i=1}^{N} E_{x,i} b \frac{y_{i+1}^2 - y_i^2}{2}$$

$$D = \int_{\mathcal{A}} y^2 E_x(y) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} E_{x,i} b \frac{y_{i+1}^3 - y_i^3}{3}.$$

- For plies of uniform thickness we can write

$$y_i = -\frac{h}{2} + (i-1)\frac{h}{N},$$

which leads to:

$$A = \frac{h}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} E_{x,i}, \; B = \frac{h^2}{2N^2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} E_{x,i}(2i - N - 1),$$

$$D = \frac{h^3}{12N^3} \sum_{i=1}^{N} E_{x,i}(12i^2 - 12Ni + 12N^2 + 3N^2 + 6N + 4)$$

6.3. Numerical Example
Classical Laminate Theory

Determine the ABD matrix for the following composite beams where the ply thickness is $1\,\mathrm{mm}$ and beam width is $10\,\mathrm{mm}$:

- $[0/90]_s$, and
- $[0/90/0/90]$.

Assume the following properties for each lamina: $E_1 = 140\,\mathrm{GPa}$, $E_2 = 10\,\mathrm{GPa}$, $G_{12} = 7\,\mathrm{GPa}$, $\nu_{12} = 0.3$, $\nu_{23} = 0.2$.

References I

[1]   Ronald F. Gibson. Principles of Composite Material Mechanics, 3rd ed. Dekker Mechanical Engineering. Boca Raton, Fla: Taylor & Francis, 2012. ISBN: 978-1-4398-5005-3 (cit. on pp. 2, 17–19, 44–50, 60–67, 70, 71).

[2]   László P. Kollár and George S. Springer. Mechanics of Composite Structures, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2003. ISBN: 978-0-521-80165-2. DOI: 10.1017/CBO9780511547140. (Visited on 01/11/2025) (cit. on pp. 2, 16, 26–28, 33, 34, 70–72).

[3]   T. H. G. Megson. Aircraft Structures for Engineering Students, Elsevier, 2013. ISBN: 978-0-08-096905-3 (cit. on pp. 2, 8–12).

[4]   Isaac M. Daniel and Ori Ishai. Engineering Mechanics of Composite Materials, 2nd ed. New York: Oxford University Press, 2006. ISBN: 978-0-19-515097-1 (cit. on pp. 2, 31, 32).

[5]   NPTEL Online-IIT KANPUR. https://archive.nptel.ac.in/content/storage2/courses/101104010/ui/Course_home-1.html. Jan. 2025. (Visited on 01/22/2025) (cit. on pp. 3–6).

[6]   Carbon Fiber Top Helicopter Blades. Jan. 2025. (Visited on 01/22/2025) (cit. on pp. 3–6).

[7]   Şevket Kalkan. "TECHNICAL INVESTGATION FOR THE USE OF TEXTILE WASTE FIBER TYPES IN NEW GENERATION COMPOSITE PLASTERS". PhD thesis. July 2017 (cit. on pp. 3–6).

[8]   "Micro-Mechanics of Failure". Wikipedia, (May 2024). (Visited on 01/22/2025) (cit. on p. 7).

[9]   Simon Skovsgaard and Simon Heide-Jørgensen. "Three-Dimensional Mechanical Behavior of Composite with Fibre-Matrix Delamination through Homogenization of Micro-Structure". Composite Structures, 275, (July 2021), pp. 114418. DOI: 10.1016/j.compstruct.2021.114418 (cit. on p. 7).